

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,885	05/02/2001		Robert Nakayama	18564-005910	5809
20350	7590	10/31/2003		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND AN	TALBOT, BRIAN K		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				1762	,

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i i		11					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/847,885	NAKAYAMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian K Talbot	1762					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat twith the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 S	September 2001 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims A) Claim(a) 1.23 in/are pending in the application							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1762

1. Claims 1-22 remain in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to clam 1, it is unclear how the step produce a sensor and how the conductive material/electrodes are "contacted" with the sensed material when it is covered with a polymer film. Is the film permeable? Is the film "selectively coated". Clarification s requested.

With respect to claim 13, the term "processing" is vague and indefinite. It is unclear what the term "processing" encompasses and it is broader than the enabling disclosure.

With respect to claims 15-17, the claims are confusing. It is unclear how a first and second sensor is formed with only one deposition step. It is unclear how the first sensor is compositionally different or that the polymer film is different when there is only one deposition step. Clarification is requested.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1762

À

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The type of sensor, i.e. liquid, gas, analyte, etc. is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The specification does not disclose what type of sensor is being formed and what is being "sensed".

4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, does not reasonably provide enablement for ever type of sensor know. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yamagishi et al. (5,756,879), Pace (4,454,007 or Kuroiwa et al. (5,296,819).

Art Unit: 1762

Yamagishi et al. (5,756,879), Pace (4,454,007) or Kuroiwa et al. (5,296,819) all teach sensors whereby conductive electrodes are covered and connected by a conductive material. The sensors can be tailored to detect a variety of compound by altering the conductive material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi et al. (5,756,879), Pace (4,454,007 or Kuroiwa et al. (5,296,819).

Yamagishi et al. (5,756,879), Pace (4,454,007 or Kuroiwa et al. (5,296,819) fail to teach some of the claimed limitations

Pace (4,454,007) teaches covering the electrode layers with a polymer film (col. 8, line 20-40). Kuroiwa et al. (5,296,819) teaches covering the electrode layers with a polymer film (col. 3, line 10-20).

Yamagishi et al. (5,756,879) teaches the conductive material including carbon up to a thickness of 2 microns. (col. 6, lines 10-15).

Art Unit: 1762

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Talbot whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3775.

Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762 Page 5

BKT